

To: DeYoung, Robyn[DeYoung.Robyn@epa.gov]; Jeremy Fisher[jfisher@synapse-energy.com]
Cc: Chris Lamie[Chris.Lamie@erg.com]
From: Ariel Horowitz
Sent: Mon 12/14/2015 10:37:45 PM
Subject: RE: AVERT portfolio approach

Hi, Robyn,

Yes, we can definitely get that to you before the end of the week.

Best,

Ariel

From: DeYoung, Robyn [mailto:DeYoung.Robyn@epa.gov]
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2015 1:56 PM
To: Jeremy Fisher <jfisher@synapse-energy.com>
Cc: Ariel Horowitz <ahorowitz@synapse-energy.com>; Chris Lamie <Chris.Lamie@erg.com>
Subject: AVERT portfolio approach
Importance: High

Hi Jeremy and Ariel,

There's been some discussion here, about whether the 5% reduction for every hour of the year to represent the portfolio EE emissions factor is the appropriate magnitude. This is because many people who may use this factor will be estimating impacts from small programs that may represent less of a regional impact on an hourly basis.

We already have the 3% marginal impacts from the EPA Emissions Inventory Paper. Could you all do a 1% reduction run as well for the EE portfolio resource. For all regions and national weighted average.

I also will need a write that describes the weighted average approach for our documentation in

our CPPD benefits annual report. Can you all get this to me by COB Friday Dec 18th?

Best,

Robyn (Kenney) DeYoung

202-343-9080

U.S. EPA

State and Local Climate and Energy Program

<http://epa.gov/statelocalclimate/>